

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,667	12/17/2004	Wolfgang Barnikol	BARNIKOL ET AL2 (PCT)	6838
25889 WILLIAM CC	7590 09/04/2007 OLLARD	EXAMINER		
COLLARD & ROE, P.C.			FISHER, ABIGAIL L	
1077 NORTHI ROSLYN, NY	ERN BOULEVARD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11002111,111			1609	
				<u> </u>
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/518,667	BARNIKOL ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Abigail Fisher	1609				
The MAILING DATE of this communicated Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR	R REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 M	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAI  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statul  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wil Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION (37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rication.  cory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON (6), by statute, cause the application to become AE	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>17 December 2004</u> .					
<i>,</i> —	·					
,—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice	under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	o. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the app	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
	•					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the E		house Forest and				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a  Applicant may not request that any objection	· — · · — ·	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including th	- · · ·	···				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to b	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•	•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 H.S.C. &	119(a)-(d) or (f)				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	Toreign priority under 55 0.5.0. §	· 113(a)-(d) or (1).				
1. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been received.					
•	cuments have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of	the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the Internationa	l Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action f	or a list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) 🔲 Other:	<del>_</del>				

Art Unit: 1609

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

Claims 1-23 are pending.

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-17, drawn to water-in-oil micro-emulsion.

Group II, claim(s) 18, drawn to topically applicable preparation.

Group III, claim(s) 19, drawn to method for producing a micro-emulsion.

Group IV, claim(s) 20-23, drawn to use of a micro-emulsion.

The inventions listed as Groups I-IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the water-in-oil micro-emulsion is anticipated by Aboofazeli et al. (Int. J. Pharm., 1994, 111, 63-72) Aboofazeli et al. discloses microemulsions that are composed of lecithin/isopropyl myristate/water/cosurfactant. The cosurfactant can be alcohols. Therefore the microemulsion does not make a contribution over the prior art and unity of invention is lacking and restriction is appropriate.

An international application should relate to only one invention or, if there is more than one invention, the inclusion of those inventions in one international application is only permitted if all, inventions are so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (PCT Rule 13.1). With respect to a group of inventions claimed in an international application, unity of invention exists only when there is a technical

Application/Control Number: 10/518,667

Art Unit: 1609

relationship among the claimed inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

The expression "special technical features" is defined in PCT Rule 13.2 as meaning those technical features that define a contribution which each of the inventions, considered as a whole, makes over the prior art. The determination is made on the contents of the claims as interpreted in light of the description and drawings (if any). Whether or not any particular technical feature makes a "contribution" over the prior art, and therefore constitutes a "special technical feature," should be considered with respect to novelty and inventive step.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

Art Unit: 1609

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## **Multiple Inventors**

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Rejoinder

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims.

Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product

Art Unit: 1609

are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Abigail Fisher whose telephone number is 571-270-3502. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 9am-4pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ardin Marschel can be reached on 571-272-0718 or Cecilia Tsang can be reached on 571-272-0562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,667 Page 6

Art Unit: 1609

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

AF

Cecilia J. Tsang Sementicory Patent Examiner Sementicopy Center 1600